



1600 20th Street, NW • Washington, D.C. 20009 • 202/588-1000 • [www.citizen.org](http://www.citizen.org)

[pstoffel@its.jnj.com](mailto:pstoffel@its.jnj.com)

Paul Stoffels  
Chief Scientific Officer  
Johnson & Johnson  
1 Johnson And Johnson Plaza  
New Brunswick, NJ 08933

March 2, 2021

Dear Dr. Stoffels,

Congratulations on receiving an Emergency Use Authorization for Ad26.COV2.S. We are writing to urge Johnson & Johnson to share vaccine technology widely with manufacturers around the world to help quickly ramp up global production.

A single-dose vaccine that is easy to transport can play an important role in vaccinating the world. However, J&J currently projects to produce 1 billion doses in 2021.<sup>1</sup> A new partnership with Merck is expected to increase capacity but details and timelines remain unclear.<sup>2</sup> J&J also has reportedly promised only 200 million doses for the developing world through COVAX by the end of the year.<sup>3</sup> Production problems have already slowed delivery.<sup>4</sup>

We urge you, at minimum, to meet the sharing standard set by your peers. J&J and AstraZeneca/Oxford (AZ-OX) both employ replication-defective viral vector technology.<sup>5</sup> But J&J has entered into a fraction of global partnerships as AZ-OX. According to UNICEF, AZ-OX have entered into manufacturing agreements with 21 partners.<sup>6</sup> J&J has entered into agreements with 8, including Merck.<sup>7</sup> More than 10 partners are producing AZ-OX drug substance.<sup>8</sup> Only 3 are producing J&J drug substance.<sup>9</sup> These differences amount to hundreds of millions of doses.

J&J should share technology, intellectual property, and know-how with the World Health Organization. The COVID-19 Technology Access Pool is a platform that would help manufacturers from around the

---

<sup>1</sup> <https://www.washingtonpost.com/health/2021/03/02/merck-johnson-and-johnson-covid-vaccine-partnership/>

<sup>2</sup> *Id.*

<sup>3</sup> <https://www.nytimes.com/2021/01/13/health/covid-vaccine-johnson-johnson.html>

<sup>4</sup> <https://www.nytimes.com/2021/01/13/health/covid-vaccine-johnson-johnson.html>

<sup>5</sup> J&J uses adenovirus type 26 vector and PER.C6 cell line. AZ-OX uses a modified chimpanzee virus, ChAdOx1, and HEK-293 cell line. <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC7423510/>

<sup>6</sup> <https://www.unicef.org/supply/covid-19-vaccine-market-dashboard>

<sup>7</sup> Emergent Biosolutions, Biological E, Merck, Sanofi, Catalent, Grand Asceptic, Reig Jofre, Aspen Pharma.

<https://docs.house.gov/meetings/IF/IF02/20210223/111226/HHRG-117-IF02-Wstate-NettlesR-20210223.PDF>

<sup>8</sup> <https://twitter.com/sandyddouglas/status/1355838719295684617>

<sup>9</sup> Emergent Biosolutions, Biological E, and Merck. <https://docs.house.gov/meetings/IF/IF02/20210223/111226/HHRG-117-IF02-Wstate-NettlesR-20210223.PDF>

world quickly ramp up production.<sup>10</sup> A recent AP investigation found multiple manufacturers with spare capacity to produce hundreds of millions of doses.<sup>11</sup>

J&J has a particular obligation to share because it has benefited enormously from public funding. BARDA has awarded J&J two billion dollars for the COVID-19 vaccine to pay for research and development, and for manufacturing 100 million doses.<sup>12</sup> The NIH has also helped run late-stage clinical trials, and developed the stabilized spike protein technology used by Ad26.COV2.S.<sup>13</sup> Public support for the technology platform also extends back many years. National Institutes of Health-funded researchers played a critical role in the development of the viral vector employed by J&J.<sup>14</sup> NIH and BARDA helped bankroll an Ebola vaccine candidate that helped validate the platform, pouring in at least \$250 million.<sup>15</sup>

As the head of the WHO notes, “A restrictive approach to vaccine production is . . . more likely to prolong the pandemic—which would be tantamount to medical malpractice on a global scale.”<sup>16</sup> Ad26.COV2.S. is one of a handful of technologies that has so far demonstrated protection against concerning new variants. We urge you to choose a better path.

Sincerely,



Peter Maybarduk  
Director, Access to Medicines Program  
Public Citizen  
(202) 588-7755; pmaybarduk@citizen.org

---

<sup>10</sup> <https://www.who.int/initiatives/covid-19-technology-access-pool>

<sup>11</sup> <https://apnews.com/article/technology-europe-global-trade-coronavirus-vaccine-coronavirus-pandemic-22d92afbc3ea9ed519be007f8887bcf6>

<sup>12</sup> <https://www.medicalcountermeasures.gov/app/barda/coronavirus/COVID19.aspx>

<sup>13</sup> <https://www.citizen.org/article/leading-covid-19-vaccines-depend-on-nih-technology/>

<sup>14</sup> <https://projectreporter.nih.gov/> (noting that Harvard’s Dr. Dan Barouch is a principal investigator for adenovirus-related projects that received \$132.9 million in NIH funding)

<sup>15</sup> <https://www.usaspending.gov/>. HHSO100201500008C (\$49.6 million so far); HHSO100201700013C (\$125.3 million so far); HHSN272200800056C (\$74.4 million so far).

<sup>16</sup> <https://foreignpolicy.com/2021/02/02/vaccine-nationalism-harms-everyone-and-protects-no-one/#>